

I am appalled that a company like Sinclair Broadcasting can force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. I would feel just as disturbed if an anti-President Bush documentary was forced on stations right before an election - such as Fahrenheit 9/11. It was my impression that blatantly political items had to be counter-balanced by the other side. Their contention that they invited Kerry to discuss it is disingenuous at best. A blatant lie at worst. If they were to demand that their stations play Fahrenheit 9/11 would it be considered balanced if they invited President Bush to talk about it afterwards? That is beyond ridiculous.

When I first heard about this I had no idea that Sinclair owned three local stations. Now I see they own a high percentage of stations and they have an anti-American agenda - that is, they wish to control the dissemination of ideas to their favor making anything they air suspect.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. They are clearly not serving our interests when they try to influence an election for their own commercial interests. I for one do not think they should be allowed to own so many stations in one area.

Thank you.